MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Project Delivery Team Meeting – Roseau, Minnesota Feasibility Study, Roseau River

- 1. On Wednesday, March 30, 2005 beginning at 10:15 am the project delivery team meeting was conducted in the Executive Conference Room of the district office. Seventeen persons attended the meeting. Participants at this meeting included City Officials, Roseau River Watershed District, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Barr Engineering, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Corps Study Team. A sign-in roster listing the meeting participants is attached as enclosure 1.
- 2. The purpose of this delivery team meeting was to discuss how the alternatives were screened to arrive at the selected plan and how to proceed with optimization of the selected plan within the financial and time constraints. The focus of the meeting was to present findings of final screening efforts to the Sponsor and agency representatives.
- 3. Noteworthy items coordinated and discussed during the meeting included:
 - Overview of the meeting with the Executive Committee where formalized approval was received for the change in scope and costs. The schedule was extended by about 2 months and costs were increased by \$186K.
 - The final screening of alternatives was completed, with the selected plan being the 50 foot diversion channel. This selected plan now needs to be optimized and initial indications are that the optimal diversion plan will be larger than the 50 foot channel and include some additional features. Some possibilities of additional features are non-structural solutions (relocating or elevating the low lying flood prone structures) or structural solutions (small in town levees or ring levees around suspect structures.
 - During the optimization the addition of ecosystem restoration and recreation will be analyzed, this can help the overall B/C ratio of the project increase and make it a more viable project. The cost share on these will be different than that of flood protection.
 - It was noted that it is still possible to have a Locally Preferred Plan. This could happen if the NED plan does not remove the city from the 100-year flood plain, which is a local objective for the study.
 - The screening of alternatives letter report has been completed and will be used for the ITR meeting which will be taking place on April 7, 2005.

- The City, DNR, and Watershed District discussed other flood recovery and
 mitigation activities taking place in the Roseau area. It was discussed that it is
 not necessary for the bonding bill to be passed for this project to continue, it
 will be necessary in 2006 when money will be needed for construction. Other
 activities in the area are going well and are proceeding.
- The project delivery team addressed questions regarding interior drainage and how the natural topography will contribute to moving water towards the ponding areas.
- An economic analysis was presented which showed the updated B/C and NED benefits along with a breakdown by reach of the effects the screened alternatives would have had in reducing damages. The NED benefits from the last meeting have increased primarily for 3 reasons, the use of the risk and uncertainty model, change in the H&H relationships, and increases in property values due to inflation. See enclosure 2 for the economic handout.
- There were issues regarding credit to levee primarily in the West Bank South reach (the levee protecting Polaris Industries). The current emergency levee will be modified to accommodate a trail and will no longer provide the level of protection necessary for credit to levee, due to already existing openings and future modifications.
- The restriction structure was described and nicely sketched by Scott Goodfellow to show how the structure worked. There will be further analysis regarding the structure and its effects on the environment and the project.
- There was an overview of some possible recreation and ecosystem ideas which may be incorporated into the project, these included nature trails, motorized trails, canoe trails, a sledding hill, parking lots, trail access, planting of native trees and meadows, scenic overlooks, and habitat restoration.
- The upcoming schedule includes the previously mentioned ITR meetings and a series of 4 public meetings in Roseau. These will consist of meetings with the DNR, landowners, flood control task force, and general public. These will take place April 13 and 14 in Roseau. A letter will be sent to land owners by the Corps indicating that there is a special meeting for them and that their land may be affected by the project.
- There was one breakout session focused on the credit to levee and what changes will be made to the present structure.

/s/

Ed McNally

Project Manager

Aaron Snyder Community Planner

Enclosures 3
Sign in Roster
Economic Breakdown

CF: All Meeting Participants (via email)

Subject: RE:

Delivery Team Meeting on 30 Mar 05 - in Exec. Conf. Rm. District Office Roseau Flood Control Feasibility Study

Sign-In Roster

<u>Name</u>	<u>Organiz</u>	ation _	Email and	<u>/or Telephone</u>
1. TODO PETE	reson Cr	14 OF ROSEAU	218-	463-5003,
2. Ed Fich	1 7	NR	651-1	215-1954
3. Bill Doy	challe B	ARR ENGR	952-	832-2666
		EMUP-PM-E	651-	290 - 5259
5. Dick I	Ratty C	EMUP-PH-E	651-2	290-577
6. Set Book	tellow a	EMIP-EC-9+		190-5635
7. Ken t	Seck.	RE-1A	651	-290-5394
8. LAVry	CACHIN	RE-DA	651-	290-5399
9. JEFF H	ANSEN	CEMVP-EC-D	(651)	290-5649
10. PETER VER	STEGBY	CEMVP-PAO	6516	790 5202
11. GRANT F	Riddick	CEMVP-EC-D	651	290-5599
12. Jeff Sta	inek	CEMVP-EC-D	651	290 5731
13. Cary Word		CEWAS IC. O	2 2€1	290 5288
14. Auron S		CEMOS PM-A	651	240 5480
15. Rob San	do	RRWD	218	463 8313
16. Laurie F	airchild	USFWS	612	725-3548
17				
18				
19				
20.				
21				
22.				
23.			707.4 10	
24		on the first of the second of		
25.				

ROSEAU, MN. ALTERNATIVES SCREENING REPORT SUMMARY OF AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS AND BENEFITS Levee Alternatives

Total First Cost IDC Total Investment	25 Yr. Barrier \$22,996,000 1,244,340 24,240,340	100 Yr. Barrier \$26,952,000 1,458,400 28,410,400	500 Yr. Barrier \$29,996,000 1,623,120 31,619,120
Annualized First Costs Annual O&M Cost Average Annual Charges	1,405,470 126,478 1,531,900	1,647,252 148,236 1,795,500	1,833,296 164,978 1,998,300
Avg. Annual Benefits Damage Reduction Residential Commercial/Industrial/Public Automobile Household Temporary Relocation Infrastructure/Emergency Response Flood Insurance Admin. Costs Advance Replacement Total Annual Benefits	883,900 363,100 7,300 83,400 TBD TBD TBD 1,337,700	975,500 1,253,500 10,600 93,800 TBD 69,300 <u>TBD</u> 2,402,700	981,200 1,317,300 11,000 94,400 TBD 69,300 <u>TBD</u> 2,473,200
Net Benefits	(194,200)	607,200	474,900
B/C Ratio	0.87	1.34	1.24

Assumptions:

- 1. Assumes a 50 year project life 5 3/8% interest rate.
- 2. Assumes a 2 year period of construction.
- 3. Credit to existing levees.
- 4. Annual O&M estimated as a factor of first cost. (.0055*First Cost) From Breckenridge/Wahpeton studies.

ROSEAU, MN. ALTERNATIVES SCREENING REPORT SUMMARY OF AVERAGE ANNUAL COSTS AND BENEFITS East Diversion Alternatives

Total First Cost	BW=50ft. \$11,630,000 629,310	BW=150ft. \$20,900,000 1,130,920	BW=350ft. \$29,740,000
Total Investment	12,259,310	22,030,920	1,609,260 31,349,260
			0.,010,200
Annualized First Costs	710,802	1,277,366	1,817,649
Annual O&M Cost	63,965	114,950	163,570
Average Annual Charges	774,800	1,392,300	1,981,200
Avg. Annual Benefits Damage Reduction Residential Commercial/Industrial/Public Automobile Household Temporary Reduction Infrastructure/Emergency Response Flood Insurance Admin. Costs Advance Replacement	424,400 1,083,900 7,700 52,800 TBD TBD TBD	699,700 1,281,500 10,200 76,300 TBD TBD TBD	887,300 1,319,200 11,400 88,800 TBD TBD TBD
Total Annual Benefits	1,568,800	2,067,700	2,306,700
Net Benefits	794,000	675,400	325,500
B/C Ratio	2.02	1.49	1.16

Assumptions:

- 1. Assumes a 50 year project life 5 3/8% interest rate.
- 2. Assumes a 2 year period of construction.
- 3. Credit to existing levees.
- 4. Annual O&M estimated as a factor of first cost. (.0055*First Cost) From Breckenridge/Wahpeton studies.

Roseau
Expected Annual Damage Reduced and Distributed
by All Plans for Analysis Year 2008
(Damage in \$1,000's)

		Expec	Expected Annual Damage	ge	Probabi Excee	Probability Damage Reduced Exceeds Indicated Values
Plan Name	Plan Description	Without	With	Damage	75	3
White	WELL			Menucen	./:	
Millout	Without project condition	2464.82	2464.82	0.00	0.00	0.00
Plan 1	25 - Year Levee	2464.82	1127.18	1337.64	758.03	1231.56
Plan 2	100 - Year Levee	2464.82	121 /1	11 000	1020	
Dia 3	FOO 47	20.707.0	14.101	2333.41	10/2.86	1742.07
rian 3	DOU - Year Levee	2464.82	60.94	2403.88	1136.01	1819.21
Plan 4	East Diversion 50' Bottom Width	2464.82	896.12	1568.70	712.42	119747
Plan 5	East Diversion 150' Bottom Width	2464.82	397.06	2067 76	0000	1570 04
	East Discussion 250 Date W. M.			1007.70	707.04	15/8.24
LE IGHT O	East Diversion 330 Bottom Width	2464.82	158.11	2306.71	1144.11	1802.65

Roseau, MN Expected Annual Damages by Plans

Plan Name Without Plan 1 Plan 2 Plan 3 Plan 4 Plan 6	Reach 6 - Ro - North EB Reach 5 - Ro - North WB Reach 4 - Ro - Central EB Reach 3 - Ro - Central WB Reach 2 - Ro - South EB Reach 1 - Ro - South WB Ro - US1 Ro - US2 Ro - US3 Ro - US4 Total Expected Annual Damage Benefit
Plan Description Without project condition 25 - Year Levee 100 - Year Levee 500 - Year Levee East Diversion 50' Bottor East Diversion 350' Bottor	Without 460,160 73,330 428,980 272,200 51,240 1,132,610 13,660 120 6,380 26,140 2,464,820 1
Plan Description Without project condition 25 - Year Levee 100 - Year Levee 500 - Year Levee East Diversion 50' Bottom Width East Diversion 350' Bottom Width	Plan 1 11,450 97.5% 5,960 91.9% 54,180 87.4% 93,110 65.8% 15,990 68.8% 893,280 21.1% 19,090 -39.8% 190 -58.3% 7,300 -14.4% 26,640 -1.9% 1,127,190 54.3% 1,337,630
<i>ਤ</i> ਤ	Plan 2 40 100.0% 40 100.0% 20 100.0% 3,830 99.1% 6,090 97.8% 1,350 97.4% 66,870 94.1% 19.090 -39.8% 19.090 -58.3% 7,300 -14.4% 26,640 -1.9% 131,420 94.7% 2,333,400
	Plan 3 0 0 220 330 140 7,040 19,090 190 7,300 7,300 26,640 60,950 2,403,870
	Plan 4 100.0% 312,260 32.1% 100.0% 31,350 57.2% 99.9% 220,070 48.7% 99.9% 76,800 71.8% 99.7% 36,030 29.7% 99.4% 189,870 83.2% 99.4% 2,390 82.5% 60 50.0% -14.4% 3,970 37.8% -14.4% 3,970 37.8% -1.568,710 63.6% 1,568,710
	Plan 5 184,830 59.8% 11,020 85.0% 110,190 74.3% 20,900 92.3% 16,060 68.7% 30,370 97.3% 410 97.0% 10 91.7% 1,970 69.1% 21,300 18.5% 397,060 83.9% 2,067,760
	Plan 6 82,110 2,560 40,000 3,600 5,180 3,620 50 0 1,990 158,100 2,306,720
	6 82.2% 96.5% 90.7% 98.7% 89.9% 99.7% 99.6% 100.0% 23.5% 93.6%

East Diversion 350' Bottom Width	East Diversion 150' Bottom Width	East Diversion 50' Bottom Width	500 - Year Levee	100 - Year Levee	25 - Year Levee	Without project condition	Plan Description

Water Surface Profiles

Roseau, MN

X-Section 31442.86 - Reach 1 & 2
Reach 1 - Roseau South Reach West Bank/South of R.R. Tracks
Reach 2 - Roseau South Reach East Bank/South of R.R. Tracks

Top of Levee Elevation 25 Year Barrier - Plan 1 100 Year Barrier - Plan 2 500 Year Barrier - Plan 3	Alternative Existing Conditions With Levees - Plans 1-3 East Diversion 50' B.W Plan 4 East Diversion 150' B.W Plan 5 East Diversion 350' B.W Plan 6
1053.15 1055.75 1056.72	2 Year 1040.60 1040.58 1040.61 1040.61 1040.61
	5 Year 1045.60 1045.60 1044.81 1044.17 1043.51
	10 Year 1048.01 1047.97 1046.58 1045.76 1044.85
	20 Year 1049.73 1049.73 1047.88 1046.99 1045.87
	25 Year 1050.15 1050.27 1048.22 1047.26 1046.09
	50 Year 1051.14 1051.37 1049.28 1048.20 1046.97
	100 Year 1052.01 1052.35 1050.32 1048.96 1047.70
	200 Year 1052.52 1052.87 1050.92 1049.47 1048.26
	500 Year 1053.29 1053.96 1051.95 1050.33 1048.98
	2002 <u>Flood</u> 1053.73 1054.70
	1000 Year 1053.80 1054.81 1052.41 1050.74 1049.29

Credit to Exixsting Levees Reach 1 For this analysis assume no levee credit for reach 1. PFP Elevation
PNP Elevation

Credit to Exixsting Levees Reach 2
PFP Elevation
PNP Elevation

1050.00 Probability of Failure 100%

Water Surface Profiles
Roseau, MN
X-Section 30781.33 - Reach 3 & 4
Reach 3 - Roseau Central Reach West Bank/Between R.R. Tracks & Hwy. 11
Reach 4 - Roseau Central Reach East Bank/Between R.R. Tracks & Hwy. 11

Credit to Exixsting Levees Reach 4 PFP Elevation PNP Elevation	Credit to Exixsting Levees Reach 3 PFP Elevation PNP Elevation	Top of Levee Elevation 25 Year Barrier - Plan 1 100 Year Barrier - Plan 2 500 Year Barrier - Plan 3	Alternative Existing Conditions With Levees - Plans 1-3 East Diversion 50' B.W Plan 4 East Diversion 150' B.W Plan 5 East Diversion 350' B.W Plan 6
1046.36 P 1044.54 P	1049.77 P 1048.74 P	1051.97 1053.60 1054.53	2 Year 1040.21 1040.20 1040.22 1040.22 1040.22
1046.36 Probability of Failure 85% 1044.54 Probability of Failure 15%	1049.77 Probability of Failure 85% 1048.74 Probability of Failure 15%		5 Year 1045.22 1045.26 1044.45 1043.82 1043.18
Failure 859 Failure 159	Failure 859		10 Year 20 Y 1047.57 104 1047.58 104 1046.18 104 1045.41 104 1044.53 104
* *	* *		20 Year 1049.17 1049.24 1047.44 1046.61 1045.54
			25 Year 1049.57 1049.76 1047.76 1046.87 1045.76
			50 Year 1050.39 1050.71 1048.77 1047.78 1046.60
			100 Year 1050.83 1051.23 1049.76 1048.48 1047.31
			200 Year 1051.00 1051.42 1050.27 1048.96 1047.84
			500 Year 1051.28 1052.06 1050.96 1049.78 1048.52
			2002 Flood 1051.53 1052.65
			1000 Year 1051.57 1052.74 1051.22 1050.15 1048.81

Water Surface Profiles Roseau, MN

X-Section 30203.8 - Reach 5 & 6

Reach 5 - Roseau North Reach West Bank/North of Hwy. 11

Reach 6 - Roseau North Reach East Bank/North of Hwy. 11

Existing Conditions

Alternative

2 Year 1039.83

<u>5 Year</u> 1044.71

10 Year

20 Year

25 Year

1048.11

1048.38 1048.47

> 50 Year 1048.87

100 Year 1049.11

200 Year

500 Year

1049.15

1049.20

2002 <u>Flood</u> 1049.29

1000 Year 1049.30

1049.53 1048.49

1039.80

1045.60

1046.81 1046.81

With Levees - Plans 1-3

500 Year Barrier - Plan 3	100 Year Barrier - Plan 2	25 Year Barrier - Plan 1	Top of Levee Elevation
1052.33	1051.67	1050.40	

East Diversion 50' B.W. - Plan 4
East Diversion 150' B.W. - Plan 5
East Diversion 350' B.W. - Plan 6

1039.84 1039.84 1039.84

1044.74 1044.00 1043.41 1042.81

1044.94 1044.14

1045.10

1048.17 1046.70 1046.03

1046.97 1046.26 1045.30

> 1049.19 1047.81 1047.05

1047.64

1048.03

1048.59

1048.87

1047.93

1049.54 1048.90

1049.80 1049.39

1050.10

1050.15

1049.55

1046.05

1046.67

1047.13

1047.69

PNP Elevation	PFP Elevation	Credit to Exixsting Levees Reach 5

1048.50 Probability of Failure 85% 1047.76 Probability of Failure 15%

Credit to Exixsting Levees Reach 6 PFP Elevation

PNP Elevation

1045.73 Probability of Failure 85% 1044.05 Probability of Failure 15%

Expected Annual Damage by Damage Categories and Damage Reaches for the Without (Without project condition) Plan and Analysis Year 2008 (Damage in \$1,000's) Plan was calculated with Uncertainty Roseau

20.66 2 acks & Hwy. 11 4.06 1 racks & Hwy. 11 1.49 20 ks 0.43 0.43 cks 0.76 447.107 0.00 0.11	Roseau Upstream Reach 4 Benefited By Diversion		-	
20.66 2 20.68 & Hwy. 11 4.06 1 20.68 & Hwy. 11 1.49 20 20.84 0.43 0.43 20.23 0.23 0.00 0.11		Ro - US4		***************************************
20.66 2 acks & Hwy. 11 4.06 1 racks & Hwy. 11 1.49 20 ks 0.43 0.43 cks 0.76 447,107	Roseau Upstream Reach 3 Benefited By Diversion	Ro - US3		
20.66 2 acks & Hwy. 11 4.06 1 racks & Hwy. 11 1.49 20 sks 0.43 0.43 cks 0.76 445.107	Roseau Upstream Reach 2 Benefited By Diversion	Ro - US2		
20.66 2 acks & Hwy. 11 4.06 1 racks & Hwy. 11 1.49 20 ks 0.43 0.43 cks 0.76 447,107	Roseau Far South Reach - Not Protected By Levee	Ro - US1		
20.66 2 acks & Hwy. 11 4.06 1 racks & Hwy. 11 1.49 20 sks 0.43	h WB Roseau South Reach West Bank/South of R.R. Tracks	Ro - South WB		
20.66 2 acks & Hwy. 11 4.06 1 racks & Hwy. 11 1.49 20	h EB Roseau South Reach East Bank/South of R.R. Tracks	Ro - South EB		
0.66 2 acks & Hwy. 11 4.06 1		Ro - Central WB		
0.66 2		Ro - Central EB		
٧,0٦	h WB Roseau North Reach West Bank/North of Hwy. 11	Ro - North WB		
ast Bank/North of Hwy 11 3.84 6.63	h EB Roseau North Reach East Bank/North of Hwy, 11	Ro - North EB	Roseau River	Roseau River
Description AUTO CIP			Description	Stream Name
Damage Damag	Damage Dan	Dar	Stream	

nnual Damage by Damage Categories and Damage Reach thout (Without project condition) Plan and Analysis Year (Damage in \$1,000's)
Plan was calculated with Uncertainty

Roseau

Categories		
RES	TEMP RELO	Total
414.87	34.82	460.16
38.30	4.90	73.33
373.36	36.73	428.98
61.87	8.43	272.20
46,44	4.37	51.24
53.33	5.23	46%1132.61
13.13	0.01	13.66
0.12	0.00	0.12
6.27	0.01	6.38
24.76	0.33	26.14
1032.44	94.82	2464.82

1,132,610 95% Love Credit

1,075.980